

FEEDBACK

BANFF OPTION B

- Did not like however if this was combined with Macduff Option C would work for me
- Is the best option for sports facilities but community facility still needed in the town centre area to provide meeting rooms for present users, Pot Pouri Club, etc.
- Seems like a good option with everything being together
- Very little difference from Option A!
- £7.75m
- Too much all in one location. Lots of choice but crowded
- Very little difference. Learning difficulty person play in sported separation from other members of club.
- Good as all facilities at one site. Also within school grounds to be utilised by pupils. More practical for all
- Campus, more use, less expensive. More facilities – winner
- Better to include the school and improves the community link
- I favour Option B to have everything in one spot. Are there enough parking spaces?
- My preferred option. A balcony overlooking squash courts plus seating needed downstairs (tiered) and upstairs. Squash courts will be a welcome addition
- Main café area needs to relate closely to a community room/space. Move gym left and put community room next to café
- Don't make dedicated squash courts – could go out of fashion and space could be used far more flexible – can the squash court and community room 2 into one large space with dividing wall – can be performance area.
- Café space should connect directly with a community room – allows groups more flexibility
- Best option
- Car parking?
- Preferred option with everything in one place
- Preferred of all options will integrate community well and bring young and old together well. Will need plenty of storage for equipment
- Sounds good – much more practical and better for community
- This is the best option for Banff by far providing the PE department is refurbished. As a Gymnastics Club Coach and visiting other Sports Centres none of the plans have enough storage space as all Clubs will bring in loads of equipment
- Could strain changing/parking facilities
- Too busy and cramped – passing trade will be missed
- These sounds very good to have everything in one place. OAPs using the facility take buses. Service bus? Town bus?
- Comprehensive cover of required facilities
- Fantastic facilities which will allow high use from both the school and community.
- This would be the best option with the inclusion of seating area outwith the glass back squash courts and the addition of an extended balcony for viewing area above
- This is my preferred option – putting all the sports facilities together at the school site. Would have to have enough parking and public transport to link with activities

- Good idea, but looks very busy – too much, in too small a space. Thoughts - 1) The climbing wall is good but might be in the wrong place – what about the atrium. 2) Long games hall store is wrong shape – how do you get items out of the back?
- The two locations works better but 2 locations has it's own problems
- Makes sense to consolidate at Academy
- Not enough for disabled people of all ages
- I think its best for all facilities to be kept in the same areas
- Yes. All facilities together is good. Also all weather hockey p[itch, climbing wall
- This is the scheme! Makes sense to link new facilities with school. More central to living area of Banff. The latest proposal is an improvement on what showed at last meeting
- It is important to have sport facilities available at our schools. The children are the future and need to be encouraged to take up sports. The academy seems to be the ideal place and there is plenty room! It's good to see that other sports are being considered and not just football
- Seating for squash courts, downstairs and up. Tier effect
- Favour this option
- Need for better quality squash courts is great idea. Also centre at academy is very much required
- I would favour option B. However, I would be concerned over the inability of the school staff to control who is going about so close to the school, particularly at exam times
- To many things in one place I feel the area would get too crowded
- Provided transport facilities are improved and provide an adequate service for all users this seems to be the better option
- Good to have all facilities at one location and keeps costs down. Preferred option
- Need to leave some social community facilities in the town as in Option A
- Seems to be most comprehensive plan. Facilities – courts, etc should be open to the public during the day when possible. Costs?
- This option appears to make the most sense, providing all the replacement facilities and some, on a flat piece of land close to the school. Maybe Banff has potential to group sporting champs with these facilities.
- Keeping it all together far best option, children can walk from school to facilities. No need to be bussed anywhere or cross busy road
- Had the advantage of grouping all sporting and other facilities close at one main centre. Association with swimming pool is important. Running and cycling tracks a good development, It will be important to establish customer friendly charges year by year and for janitorial and supervisory staffing to be adequate (up to say 10 pm nightly).
- The best option. Keeping it in council control for the good of the public
- Against this due to the adverse weather that is renowned for this part of town, i.e. the wind. Also no committee rooms here to help fund the club with corporate sponsorship
- Include Jacuzzi with steam room at pool. Is there sufficient storage space for the groups that will be using the facilities?
- Definite NO to academy project
- Best option as it keeps everything together, better for families
- Would opt for this as it would be best idea (for school kids)
- Good that everything is under one roof. Am glad that your thinking of a Pamis toilet as I think this would be of a great investment to Banff. A Hydro Pool would

have also been a good investment to add to the pool. The more disabled facilities the better which would ease the NHS

- Most sensible location, group facilities together
- This is what I call a Plan! Fantastic
- Makes sense for facilities to be close to Academy
- Think there's more options with this one
- Excellent. Good that all facilities are in one area. As a father with 3 kids one may want tennis, one swim and one football I would be struggling
- The plans for sports facilities together in one area, although some sort of shelter for players and spectators would be necessary as it can be extremely windy up there, even when other areas are calm
- The adjustments seem sensible – the overall scheme seems good
- Definitely – much more sensible
- I think this option is the best one. My worry is I am vice chairman of Deveron Youth Football Club serving 125 kids from 3 year old to 13 year old. I can not see any benefit for them with any of the plans
- Great, my only concern is the football pitch; this needs covered so it can be used over the winter to hold tournaments, etc. Sports hall wooden floor also needs astro grass for young kids as we have a community centre with wood floor and it is useless!
- Would favour this option
- The proposed Deveron Centre (common to both options) will provide much needed enhanced facilities
- Well thought out, pleasing to the eye. As a squash player and gym goer both things seem to be well catered for. Must not be run by PRSC staff and be affordable
- Have been on the committee of PRSC for approx 2 years and have never been called to a meeting. Do not let them run the new facility