Aberdeenshire Council

Integrated Impact Assessment

Budget Saving Proposal - School Crossing Patrollers - 2023

Assessment ID	IIA-001624
Lead Author	Kenny Gunnyeon
Service Reviewers	Gillian Milne
Subject Matter Experts	Suzanne Rhind, Kakuen Mo, Caroline Hastings, Annette Johnston, Christine McLennan
Approved By	Anne Marie Davies Macleod
Approved On	Saturday November 18, 2023
Publication Date	Monday November 20, 2023

1. Overview

This document has been generated from information entered into the Integrated Impact Assessment system.

Proposal to make a budget saving of £436k by removing the current school crossing patroller service from all applicable sites. School Crossing Patrollers are a non-statutory service.

During screening 3 of 10 questions indicated that detailed assessments were required, the screening questions and their answers are listed in the next section. This led to 3 out of 5 detailed impact assessments being completed. The assessments required are:

- Childrens' Rights and Wellbeing
- Equalities and Fairer Scotland Duty
- Town Centres First

In total there are 0 positive impacts as part of this activity. There are 5 negative impacts, of these negative impacts, 10 have been mitigated and 0 cannot be mitigated satisfactorily.

A detailed action plan with 2 points has been provided.

This assessment has been approved by annemarie.daviesmacleod@aberdeenshire.gov.uk.

The remainder of this document sets out the details of all completed impact assessments.

2. Screening

Could your activity / proposal / policy cause an impact in one (or more) of the Yes identified town centres? Would this activity / proposal / policy have consequences for the health and No wellbeing of the population in the affected communities? Does the activity / proposal / policy have the potential to affect greenhouse gas No emissions (CO2e) in the Council or community and / or the procurement, use or disposal of physical resources? Does the activity / proposal / policy have the potential to affect the resilience to No extreme weather events and/or a changing climate of Aberdeenshire Council or community? Does the activity / proposal / policy have the potential to affect the No environment, wildlife or biodiversity? Does the activity / proposal / policy have an impact on people and / or groups Yes with protected characteristics? Is this activity / proposal / policy of strategic importance for the council? No Does this activity / proposal / policy impact on inequality of outcome? No Does this activity / proposal / policy have an impact on children / young No people's rights? Does this activity / proposal / policy have an impact on children / young Yes people's wellbeing?

3. Impact Assessments

•	
Children's Rights and Wellbeing	All Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated
Climate Change and Sustainability	Not Required
Equalities and Fairer Scotland Duty	All Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated
Health Inequalities	Not Required
Town Centre's First	All Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated

4. Childrens' Rights and Wellbeing Impact Assessment

4.1. Wellbeing Indicators

Indicator	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Unknown
Safe			Yes	
Healthy		Yes		
Achieving		Yes		
Nurtured		Yes		
Active			Yes	
Respected		Yes		
Responsible		Yes		
Included		Yes		

4.2. Rights Indicators

UNCRC Indicators	Article 3 - Best interests of the child
upheld by this activity /	
proposal / policy	

4.3. Negative Impacts and Mitigations

Impact Area	Details and Mitigation		
Active	Reduction in active travel to/from school		
	Can be mitigated	Yes	
	Mitigation	Ongoing education programmes support health/wellbeing including the importance of regular activity including active travel.	
	Timescale	TBC	
Safe	Road safety		
	Can be mitigated	Yes	
	Mitigation	Ongoing education programmes on road safety in schools; Potential for community-led programmes - e.g. volunteer parents; walking bus projects	
	Timescale	TBC	

4.4. Evidence

Туре	Source	It says?	It Means?
Other Evidence	Moray Council	Moray Council removed their School Crossing Patroller service in 2019. There has been no increase in reported accidents following removal	Risks of removing a crossing patrol service can be well mitigated

4.5. Accounting for the Views of Children and Young People

Any engagement process on the removal of the service would include gathering the views of school pupils

4.6. Promoting the Wellbeing of Children and Young People

With mitigations implemented, there would be no negative impact on children's wellbeing

4.7. Upholding Children and Young People's Rights

Decisions will be made in the best interests of the child. Making savings from a non-statutory support service ensures budgets are invested where they are needed most to deliver front line education

4.8. Overall Outcome

All Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated.

All identified impacts can be effectively mitigated.

Other impacts may be identified during any consultation/engagement process

5. Equalities and Fairer Scotland Duty Impact Assessment

5.1. Protected Groups

Indicator	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Unknown
Age (Younger)			Yes	
Age (Older)		Yes		
Disability		Yes		
Race		Yes		
Religion or Belief		Yes		
Sex		Yes		
Pregnancy and Maternity		Yes		
Sexual Orientation		Yes		
Gender Reassignment		Yes		
Marriage or Civil Partnership		Yes		

5.2. Socio-economic Groups

Indicator	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Unknown
Low income		Yes		
Low wealth		Yes		
Material deprivation		Yes		
Area deprivation		Yes		
Socioeconomic background		Yes		

5.3. Negative Impacts and Mitigations

Impact Area	Details and Mi	Details and Mitigation		
Age (Younger)	1	Potential negative implications in respect of road safety for a minority of young people making their way to/from school		
	Can be mitigated	Yes		
	Mitigation	Age (Younger): Even where a crossing patroller is in place, it remains the responsibility of a parent to ensure the safety of their child on their full journey to and from school; Road safety educational programmes in schools will continue Additional traffic calming and/or roads safety measures will be discussed with Roads.		
	Timescale	TBC		

5.4. Evidence

Туре	Source	It says?	It Means?
Internal Data	incident data	No recording accidents/ incidents involving pupils on their way to/from school	Existing measures, even in areas without a crossing patroller, are satisfactory

5.5. Engagement with affected groups

No engagement carried out at this time

5.6. Ensuring engagement with protected groups

No engagement carried out at this time

5.7. Evidence of engagement

N/A

5.8. Overall Outcome

All Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated.

There remains an inherent risk in respect of road safety even where crossing patrollers are in place. Any additional risk, which would be minimal, as a result of the service removal can be mitigated

5.9. Improving Relations

Mobilisation of community led solutions to support pupils in their journey to and from school, will allow a more targeted and needs-specific service, developing effective partnerships between different sectors of local communities.

5.10. Opportunities of Equality

There will be no resultant inequality of opportunity as a result of this proposal

6. Town Centre's First Impact Assessment

6.1. Local Factors

Indicator	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Unknown
Town centre assets		Yes		
Footfall		Yes		
Changes to road layouts		Yes		
Parking		Yes		
Infrastructure changes		Yes		
Aesthetics of the town centre		Yes		
Tourism		Yes		
Public safety			Yes	
Town centre business		Yes		
Cultural heritage and identity		Yes		
Social and cultural aspects		Yes		

6.2. Negative Impacts and Mitigations

Impact Area	Details and Mitigation
Public safety	The removal of the School Crossing Patroller service has the potential to increase the risk of injury as a result of a road traffic collision No mitigation has been entered for this impact.

6.3. Evidence

Туре	Source	It says?	It Means?
Internal Data	Accident/ Incident Data	No recorded road traffic accidents/near misses involving pupils on way to/from school	Risk of accident/injury is small

6.4. Overall Outcome

All Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated.

All identified impacts can be effectively mitigated.

Other impacts may be identified during any consultation/engagement process

7. Action Plan

Planned Action	Details	
Engage with colleagues in	Lead Officer	Kenny Gunnyeon
Roads to identify any additional traffic management measures, or additional pedestrian	Repeating Activity	No
	Planned Start	Monday January 01, 2024
crossings, which may be	Planned Finish	Tuesday April 30, 2024
possible to mitigate any risks	Expected Outcome	Identify some key projects to support safe and active travel to/from school
	Resource Implications	TBC Budget would be required to fund installation of additional traffic management measures or crossings
Engage with Area Management	Lead Officer	Kenny Gunnyeon
teams to explore options for community-led initiatives such	Repeating Activity	No
as parental volunteer	Planned Start	Monday January 01, 2024
programmes, or walking bus	Planned Finish	Tuesday April 30, 2024
projects	Expected Outcome	Identify possible projects that could be implemented locally which would add to risk mitigation
	Resource Implications	TBC Officer time would be required during development and implementation